Marketing Campaign Performance Analysis - Case Study

o Objective of the Project

To evaluate and optimize the performance of an e-commerce company's marketing campaigns across **Facebook** and **Google AdWords**, with the goal of **maximizing conversions** and **minimizing customer acquisition costs**.

눧 Dataset Overview

- **Source**: Marketing campaign dataset
- Time Period: 1 year (daily data)
- Key Fields: Views, Clicks, Conversions, Spend for both Facebook and AdWords
- Derived Metrics: CTR, CPC, Conversion Rate, Cost per Conversion

? Key Business Questions

As outlined in the business requirements document:

- 1. Which platform performs better in terms of CTR and conversion rate?
- 2. What are the monthly trends in CPC, CTR, and conversions?
- 3. Are there seasonal patterns or performance improvements over time?
- 4. Which campaigns or days show high spend but low results?
- 5. What is the correlation between cost, clicks, and conversions?

K Tools Used

- **Python**: For data cleaning, transformation, exploratory data analysis (EDA), insights, and correlation analysis
- **Power BI**: For creating interactive dashboards to visualize trends, compare platforms, and answer business questions

Key Insights (from Python EDA)

1. Conversion Trends

- Daily conversions improved over the year, rising from ~10 to 25/day.
- Monthly average conversions increased from **16.5** (Jan) to **18.9** (Dec).

2. Cost Efficiency

 Cost per Conversion dropped from \$14.40 in January to \$12.09 in December (\u03c416\u03c6).

3. Platform Comparison

- CTR: Facebook outperformed AdWords on 303 days.
- **Conversion Rate**: Facebook outperformed AdWords on **365 days** (every day in dataset).

4. Cost per Conversion Stability

• High volatility in early months reduced over time, showing **better cost control**.

5. CTR & Conversion Rate

- Facebook CTR: 1.5–5.0% (peaks >3%)
- AdWords CTR: 0.8–1.8%
- Facebook Conv. Rate: 22–40% (peaks >45%)
- AdWords Conv. Rate: 8–18%

6. Correlation Analysis

- Clicks ↔ Conversions: 0.76 (strong positive)
- CPC ↔ Conv. Rate: -0.54 (FB), -0.64 (AdWords) → lower CPC improves efficiency
- **Cost** ↔ **Views**: 0.63 → spend increases reach, not necessarily conversions

🚺 Dashboard Visuals in Power BI

Executive Summary Page:

• Total Spend by Platform (Pie Chart)

- Total Conversions by Platform
- Avg. CPC and Avg. Conversion Rate (Card visuals)

Monthly Trend Analysis:

- Line charts for:
 - Monthly Conversions
 - o CTR trends
 - Cost per Conversion trends
- Platform comparison (FB vs AdWords)

Cost Efficiency Page:

- Funnel Charts (Views \rightarrow Clicks \rightarrow Conversions)
- Cost per Click Trends
- Spend vs Conversions (Scatter Plots)

Correlation Insights Page:

- Scatter plots:
 - Clicks vs Conversions
 - Cost vs Conversions
 - CPC vs Conv. Rate
- Heatmap of correlations

Final Recommendations

- Shift more budget to Facebook, which consistently outperforms AdWords in CTR and conversion rate.
- **Focus on increasing qualified clicks**, as clicks have the strongest impact on conversions.
- A Lower CPC is essential for better conversion efficiency—optimize ad creatives and targeting.

- **Monitor early campaign CPC volatility** and replicate the more stable patterns seen in later months.
- *** Explore seasonal spikes**, especially October–December, to identify high-performing tactics.